

UNITED STATES AIR FORCE
ARMSTRONG LABORATORY

Advanced Aircrew Body Armor

Donald A. Diesel
Donald J. White

AL/CFTS
Systems Research Branch
Brooks AFB, TX 78235-5104

February 1997

DTIC QUALITY INSPECTED R

19970321 005

*Approved for public release;
distribution is unlimited.*

Crew Systems Directorate
Crew Technology Division
2504 Gillingham Dr. Ste. 25
Brooks AFB, TX 78235-5104

NOTICES

When Government drawings, specifications, or other data are used for any purpose other than in connection with a definitely Government-related procurement, the United States Government incurs no responsibility or any obligation whatsoever. The fact that the Government may have formulated or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifications, or other data, is not to be regarded by implication, or otherwise in any manner construed, as licensing the holder or any other person or corporation; or as conveying any rights or permission to manufacture, use, or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related thereto.


The mention of trade names or commercial products in this publication is for illustration purposes and does not constitute endorsement or recommendation for use by the United State Air Force.

The Office of Public Affairs has reviewed this report, and it is releasable to the National Technical Information Service, where it will be available to the general public, including foreign nationals.

This report has been reviewed and is approved for publication.

Government agencies and their contractors registered with Defense Technical Information Center (DTIC) should direct requests for copies to: Defense Technical Information Center, 8725 John J. Kingman Rd., STE 0944, Ft. Belvoir, VA 22060-6218.

Non-Government agencies may purchase copies of this report from: National Technical Information Services (NTIS), 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, VA 22161-2103.


DONALD A. DIESEL, Major, USAF, BSC
Acting Chief, Systems Research Branch


F. WESLEY BAUMGARDNER, PhD
Acting Chief, Crew Technology Division

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved
OMB No. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.

1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)		2. REPORT DATE		3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED Final, 1 Oct 91 through 19 Oct 95	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Advanced Aircrew Body Armor				5. FUNDING NUMBERS PE-63231F PR-2830 TA-01 WU-11	
6. AUTHOR(S) Diesel, Donald A. and White, Donald J.					
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Armstrong Laboratory Crew Systems Directorate Crew Technology Division 2504 Gillingham Dr. Ste 25 Brooks Air Force Base, Texas 78235-5104				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION AL/CF-TP-1997-0014	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSORING/MONITORING	
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES					
12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.				12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE	
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) Over the past thirty years, significant technological improvements have been made in materials used for ballistic protection. Incorporations of this cutting edge body armor technology will pay off with reduced thermal burden, diminished aircrew fatigue, and increased human performance. A review of existing work done by the US Navy and US Army on body armor was completed. The US Army's Survival and Rescue Vest Integrated packets (SARVIP) program has completed the entire acquisition process and is in full scale development. Regarding the requirement for body armor, AFSOC chose to purchase off-the-shelf body armor that met their needs. AFSOC's special missions group assessed the US Army test and evaluation data, and the integration of off-the-shelf armor with SARVIP in the aircraft in which the crews would be flying. Armstrong Laboratory's Crew Technology Division (AL/CFT) recommended that the LPU-21/P/P be integrated with the SARVIP and engineering drawings were developed for attachment points on the vest. It was therefore concluded that the current commercially available body armor technology, used in combination with the US Army's SARVIP equipment, when modified as specified by AL/CFTS, will meet AFSOC requirements.					
14. SUBJECT TERMS Body Armor, Survival Vest, Ballistic Protection				15. NUMBER OF PAGES 8	
				16. PRICE CODE	
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT Unclassified	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE Unclassified	19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT Unclassified	20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT UL		

FINAL REPORT
Advance Aircrew Body Armor
28300111

A historical review and literature search was completed. The current aircrew body armor used by the US Air Force has not changed since the Vietnam conflict era. It is heavy, and not compatible with a long-range over water Special Operations Forces (SOF) mission. It does not provide multiple hit coverage and increases crew fatigue significantly when incorporated with life preserver unit, weapons, survival vest, chemical defense equipment and night vision goggles. With this significant increase in aircrew fatigue, cockpit or cabin duties become impossible. Physiologically, the poorly distributed weight of the current body armor affects blood flow to the lower body on extended flights and the bulk produces an increased thermal burden to the aircrew member. An advanced aircrew body armor system must integrate an aircrew survival vest, flotation and body armor system that provides hoist capability, survivable flotation, and gives ballistic protection. Over the past thirty years, significant technological improvements have been made in materials used for ballistic protection. With the incorporation of the improved ballistic protection and the concomitant decreases in weight and bulk, integration with the cockpit and aircrew personal protective equipment will be greatly enhanced. Incorporation of this cutting edge body armor technology will payoff with reduced thermal burden, diminished aircrew fatigue, and increased human performance, thereby enhancing SOF operational capability and reducing aircrew casualties.

A review of existing work done by the US Navy and US Army on body armor was completed. It was noted that the US Navy program, Aircrew Integrated Survival Armor Protection (AISAP), might meet the mission needs statement generated by the Air Force Special Operations Command (AFSOC). The US Navy program was in the early stages of development. The US Army program was not a body armor program but a survival vest program, the Survival and Rescue Vest Integrated Packets (SARVIP) program. The US Army's SARVIP program had completed the entire acquisition process and was in full scale development. SARVIP would be available to AFSOC through the military procurement system. Regarding the requirement for body armor, AFSOC chose to purchase off-the-shelf body armor that met their needs. AFSOC's special missions group assessed the US Army test and evaluation data, and the integration of the off-the-shelf body armor with SARVIP in the aircraft in which the crews would be flying. The Systems Research Branch of the Crew Technology Division (AL/CFTS) recommended that the US Navy's LPU 21/P equipment be considered as the life preserver to be integrated with the SARVIP vest and body armor system. Krug Life Sciences, Inc., the Crew Technology Division's in-house contractor, developed engineering drawings for attachment points on the SARVIP. These drawings were sent to the US Army Natick Research, Development, and Engineering Center, Natick MA for an engineering review. Mr. Eric Amhram, the SARVIP engineer, evaluated the concept and confirmed that the attachment points would support the LPU 21/P life preserver. This information along with the engineering drawings were provided to AFSOC. It was therefore concluded that the current commercially available body armor technology, used in combination with the US Army's SARVIP equipment when modified as specified by AL/CFTS, will meet the current AFSOC requirements.